# ALLOZYME VARIATION IN FIVE POPULATIONS OF *PINUS HALEPENSIS* MILL. IN SOUTHERN ITALY

Stefano Puglisi<sup>1</sup>, Raffaella Lovreglio<sup>1</sup>, Salvatore Cifarelli<sup>1</sup> & Vittorio Leone<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Germplasm Institute, National Research Council, Via Amendola 165/A, I–70126 Bari, Italy <sup>2)</sup> Institute of Sylviculture and Forest Management, University of Bari, Via Amendola 165/A, I–70126 Bari, Italy

Received November 20, 1997; accepted May 4, 1999

# ABSTRACT

This note reports the results of a study on the genetic structure of five natural *Pinus halepensis* Mill. populations from Southern Italy (two from the Gargano peninsula in Apulia, two from the Apulian Ionian coast and one from Calabria). Isozyme analyses were performed by means of horizontal starch gel electrophoresis on haploid megagametophytes and embryos of 12 seeds per mother tree, and about 10 trees per population, estimating only frequencies of the alleles of pollinic origin, in order to obtain a more representative sample. Seven enzyme systems, coded by 13 loci, were utilized: LAP, GOT, PGM, 6PGDH, MDH, GDH, SKDH; only six loci were polymorphic. The obtained results highlight the rather low genetic variation of this species ( $H_e$  is 0.119), yet higher than values reported in former researches, and the evident differentiation of the Calabrian populations from the four Apulian populations, as well as the relative trend toward differentiation of the two populations from Gargano. However, the overall genetic differentiation is low ( $G_{xi} = 3.1\%$ ;  $\delta = 4.8\%$ ; Nei's mean genetic distance = 0.014; Gregorius' mean genetic distance = 0.057). The Calabrian population also shows the lowest genetic variation, which could result from the crossing of genetic bottlenecks, maybe in the course of a hypothetical migration from the Apulian Ionian coast. The Apulian populations, generally considered autochthonous, could be originated from seeds introduced by the ancient Greek colonizers of Southern Italy (*Magna Grecia*), as the available archaeobotanical data seem to suggest.

Key words: Pinus halepensis, isozymes, genetic diversity, genetic differentiation, Southern Italy.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) is a circum-Mediterranean species characterized by high tolerance to drought and adverse pedological conditions, hence it is particularly suitable to reforestation of arid zones. Moreover, the high adaptation of this species to Mediterranean bioclimates is confirmed by some features of its reproductive cycle – in particular the way of development of the female gametophyte (FRANCINI 1958) – as well as by the production of serotinous cones which enable the settlement of abundant natural regeneration after fire passage (SARACINO & LEONE 1993a, 1993b; SARACINO *et al.* 1997).

In Italy, the surface covered by Aleppo pine high forests stretches over about 20,000 hectares, half of which are in Apulia (especially in the Gargano peninsula and the Ionian coast) and the remnant in southern and western Sicily, in southern Sardinia, in Campania (Cilento), in Umbria (Valle Spoletina and Val Nerina), in a stretch of the Tuscan coast and along the Ligurian coast (MAGINI 1955; BERNETTI 1995). The aim of this research work was the study of the genetic structure of some typical Aleppo pine populations from southern Italy, estimated by means of isozymes.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five populations – reputed to be of natural origin – were considered (Figure 1), four Apulian (two from Gargano and two from the Ionian coast) and one Calabrian (Ionian coast), all of them originated from natural regeneration following wildfires. Sampling was carried out in 1995 and cones from 10 trees per population – nine in population 4, because all seeds from the tenth tree were non-vital – were collected, located at a minimum distance of 100 meters and uniformly placed on the selected area.

Isozyme analyses were performed on haploid megagametophytes and embryos of 12 seeds per mother tree (120 seeds per population, 108 only for the population 4), by means of horizontal starch gel electrophoresis, in order to distinguish between male and female gamete in each embryo. Seven enzyme systems, coded by 13 loci, were utilized: LAP (leucine aminopeptidase, E.C. 3.4.11.1), GOT (glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase, E.C. 2.6.1.1), PGM (phosphoglucomutase, E.C. 2.7.5.1), 6PGDH (6-phosphogluconate dehydro



**Figure 1.** Location of the studied populations. 1 – Coppa della Nuvola (Peschici, Foggia – Gargano); 2 – Monte Barone (Mattinata, Foggia – Gargano); 3 – Perronello (Riva dei Tessali, Taranto); 4 – Pineta della regina (Marina di Ginosa, Taranto); 5 – Albidona (Cosenza).

genase, E.C. 1.1.1.44), MDH (malate dehydrogenase, E.C. 1.1.1.37), GDH (glutamate dehydrogenase, E.C. 1.4.1.2), SKDH (shikimate dehydrogenase, E.C. 1.1.1.25). Endosperms and embryos were separately homogenized in a buffer 0.08 M tris – 1.00 M HCl, pH 7.2 (MÜLLER-STARCK, pers. comm.). Electrophoresis was performed using the 1 llowing buffer systems: A) electrode buffer: 0.06 M NaOH - 0.30 M boric acid, pH 8.2; gel buffer: 0.08 M tris - 1.00 M HCl, pH 8.7 (POULIK 1957, modified), for LAP and GOT; B) electrode buffer: 0.135 M tris – 0.047 M citric acid, pH 7.0; gel buffer: 0.034 M tris - 0.012 M citric acid, pH 7.0 (SHAW & PRASAD 1970, modified), for the remaining enzyme systems. Starch gel concentration was 11% for buffer system A and 12% for B. Staining was performed according to MÜLLER-STARCK (1998). The genetic control of the utilized enzyme systems was determined by comparing the endosperm segregation ratio of putative heterozygote mother trees with the expected 1:1 Mendelian ratio (PUGLISI et al., in preparation). For each enzyme system, loci were designated by capital letters following the enzyme acronym, and the most anodal zone of activity was marked by the first letter. Within each locus, alleles were designated by numbers, starting from the fastest one.

Computations were performed with BIOSYS-1 computer program (SWOFFORD & SELANDER 1989).

Only pollen allele frequencies were estimated, in order to obtain a more representative sample than the one constituted by embryo genotypes, as the number of the surveyed mother trees was small. The contingency table  $\chi^2$ -test (SNEDECOR & COCHRAN 1967) was used in order to assess the significance of differences between populations. The following parameters of genetic diversity (variation within populations) were computed: average number of alleles per locus (N); percentage of polymorphic loci (P) computed on the basis of 5% criterion, i.e. the percentage of loci whose more common allele has a frequency lower than 95%; expected heterozygosity according to Hardy-Weinberg ( $H_{a}$ ; NEI 1975); genetic diversity (v; GREGORIUS 1978; MÜLLER-STARCK & GREGORIUS 1986), whose average value per population is computed as the harmonic mean of single locus values. On the basis of pollen allele frequencies also the following parameters of genetic differentiation (variation between populations) were computed: (a) genetic diversity analysis (NEI 1973), which shows the distribution of genetic diversity:  $H_{c}$  (total diversity),  $H_{s}$ (diversity within populations),  $D_{st}$  (diversity among populations, given as the difference between the two former parameters) and  $G_{st}$  (relative degree of genetic differentiation, given as the ratio  $D_{st}/H_t$ ;  $G_{st}$  values were computed for each polymorphic locus and then averaged over all loci; (b) subpopulation differentiation (d; GREGORIUS & ROBERDS 1986), which represents GREGORIUS' (1974) genetic distance between each population and the remaining ones, considered as a whole, and is regarded as more sensitive than  $G_{st}$ ; (c) genetic distance, computed by means of NEI's (1972) and GREGORIUS' (1974) formulae. Values of NEI's genetic distance were used for constructing a dendrogram using the UPGMA method (SNEATH & SOKAL 1973).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Only six out of the 13 loci are polymorphic (Table 1). Table 2 shows the significant  $\chi^2$ - values of heterogeneity between allelic frequency distributions in the five populations, calculated for the polymorphic loci after grouping the classes whose expected absolute frequencies were less than 4.

Genetic diversity parameters (Table 3), together with the high number of monomorphic loci, confirm the results of former researches (SCHILLER *et al.* 1986; GRUNWALD *et al.* 1986; TEISSEIRE *et al.* 1995; AGÚN-DEZ *et al.* 1997): genetic variation in this species is lower than variation estimated in most studied conifers, although the values obtained in the present research work are higher than those obtained in the abovementioned publications; nevertheless, LOUKAS *et al.* 

FOREST GENETICS 6(4)	):241–246.	1999
----------------------	------------	------

T			on			
Locus	Allele	1	2	3	4	5
Lap–A	1 2	.583 .417	.567 .433	.558 .442	.546 .454	.958 .042
Got-A	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Got-B	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Got-C	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Pgm–A	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
6pgdh–A	1 2	.900 .100	.975 .025	1.000 .000	1.000 .000	1.000 .000
6pgdh-B	1 2 3	.000 .825 .175	.000 .925 .075	.000 .683 .317	.009 .731 .259	.000 .533 .467
Mdh–A	1 2	.000 1.000	.000 1.000	.058 .942	.028 .972	.017 .983
Mdh-B	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Mdh-C	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Mdh–D	1 2	.558 .442	.325 .675	.400 .600	.361 .639	.575 .425
Gdh–A	1	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Skdh–A	1 2 3 4	.192 .000 .717 .092	.025 .000 .825 .150	.000 .025 .975 .000	.009 .083 .898 .009	.008 .067 .925 .000

Table 1. Allele frequencies at the 13 analyzed enzyme loci.

Table 3. Parameters of genetic diversity. N – mean number of alleles per locus; P – percentage of polymorphic loci at the 5% criterion;  $H_e$  – expected heterozygosity according to Hardy-Weinberg; v – genetic diversity.

<u> </u>				
Population	N	Р	$H_{e}$	υ
1	1.5	38.5	0.145	1.170
2	1.5	30.8	0.109	1.122
3	1.4	30.8	0.120	1.137
4	1.6	30.8	0.123	1.140
5	1.5	23.1	0.095	1.105
Mean	1.5	30.8	0.119	1.134

 $(H_{ep})$  estimated for gymnosperms by HAMRICK *et al.* (1992) is 0.151. Population 1 (Gargano) is characterized by the highest diversity values, while the lowest values are found in population 5 (Calabria). Also in SCHILLER *et al.* (1986) a population from Gargano was characterized by the highest  $H_e$  value found in the whole range of this species.

The parameters listed in Table 4 show the distribution of genetic diversity: the average  $G_{st}$  value is 3.1%, similar to many other wide-ranged conifers, thus showing that the overwhelming majority of the measured genetic diversity is within populations. For some loci the proportion of diversity due to population differentiation is higher (10.9% for the locus *Lap–A*, 9% for the locus 6Pgdh-B). Table 5 shows values of the parameter *d* (subpopulation differentiation): the mean value over all loci and populations is 4.8%, just a little higher than  $G_{st}$  average. "Differentiation snails" (Figure 2) evidently show the loci better enabling to distinguish between populations: *Lap–A*, *Skdh–A*, 6Pgdh-B and *Mdh–D*.

NEI's and GREGORIUS' genetic distance average values are rather low (0.014 and 0.057). Yet, both measures (Table 6), together with the dendrogram constructed on the basis of Nei's genetic distance values (Figure 3), efficaciously show the sharp differentiation of the Calabrian population (no. 5) from the four Apulian ones and the trend toward differentiation of the two populations from the Gargano peninsula (no. 1 and 2).

The Calabrian population stands out also for its lowest genetic variation (Table 3). Since this population is accepted as spontaneous, it can be hypothesized that its differentiation could be the result of the crossing, occurred in the past, of *genetic bottlenecks* which considerably reduced variation, probably because of wildfires occurred in immature stands, before seed production age (6–7 years). This could have taken place in the course of a hypothetical migration and coloniza-

Table 2. Heterogeneity  $\chi^2$  values computed on allelic frequence distributions of the studied populations (d. f. – degrees of freedom; the asterisks represent the significance level: three asterisks correspond to p < 0.005).

Locus	$\chi^2$	d.f.	Significance leve	
Lap-A	65.156	4	***	
6pgdh–B	54.507	4	***	
Mdh–D	25.503	4	***	
Skdh–A	134.890	12	***	
Total	280.056	24	***	

(1983), KOROL *et al.* (1995) and KOROL & SCHILLER (1996) found values similar or higher than ours in Greek, Israeli and Jordanian populations. Mean  $H_{e}$  is 0.119, while the average value of  $H_{e}$  within populations

Locus	$H_t$	$H_s$	$H_{st}$	$D_{st}$
Lap–A	0.459	0.409	0.050	0.109
Got–A	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Got-B	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Got-C	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Pgm–A	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
6pgdh-A	0.049	0.046	0.003	0.062
6pgdh-B	0.386	0.351	0.035	0.091
Mdh-A	0.040	0.039	0.001	0.023
Mdh-B	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Mdh-C	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Mdh–D	0.494	0.472	0.021	0.043
Gdh–A	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Skdh–A	0.241	0.222	0.018	0.076
Mean	0.128	0.118	0.010	0.031

Table 4. Genetic diversity analysis.

tion of the Calabrian Ionian belt from the Apulian coast, since Aleppo pine is generally considered autochthonous in Apulia but not in Calabria (FRANCINI 1953; BERNETTI 1995).

Nevertheless, the available archaeobotanical data would dismiss the hypothesis of a natural origin of Apulian (FOLLIERI 1968; FIORENTINO 1995a, 1995b, 1995c, 1995d, 1998a, 1998b, 1999a, 1999b and pers. comm.; FIORENTINO & RADINA 1998) and Calabrian populations (VALLINO & VENTURA 1984). PIGNATTI (1979) hypothesized the anthropic origin of the present Apulian populations. As a consequence, also the anthropic origin of the Calabrian population cannot be excluded, or the abovementioned migration could have occurred in a more recent period. The high genetic variation of the Apulian populations could have originated from Greek provenance of the propagation material introduced by Greek colonizers present in southern Italy in historical times (*Magna Grecia*), since Greek and Apulian populations show the highest variation values (LOUKAS *et al.* 1983; SCHILLER *et al.* 1986; MORGANTE & VENDRAMIN, pers. comm.). According to SCHILLER & BRUNORI (1992) and SCHILLER & MENDEL (1995), Aleppo pine diffusion in its present natural range could have been quite affected by human activity.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mrs M. Attolico for the excellent technical assistance, Dr. G. G. Vendramin for his constructive review of the manuscript, Dr. G. Fiorentino for the important archaeobotanical information and Dr. V. Perrone for the accurate and quick working of seeds. This study was partially supported by the FIREGENE AIR3–Contract: CT93–0803.

#### REFERENCES

- AGÚNDEZ, D., DEGEN, B., VON WÜHLISCH, G. & ALIA, R. 1997: Genetic variation of Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) in Spain. *Forest Genetics* **4**:201–209.
- BERNETTI, G. 1995: Selvicoltura Speciale. UTET, Torino.
- FIORENTINO, G. 1995a: New perspectives in anthracological analysis. Palaeoecological and technological implications of charcoals found in the Neolithic flintmine at La

#### Table 5. Genetic differentiation between populations ( $\delta$ values).

			Population			
Locus	1	2	3	4	5	δ
	$D_{i1}$	$D_{i2}$	$D_{i3}$	$D_{i4}$	$D_{i5}$	<u></u>
Lap-A	0.077	0.097	0.109	0.121	0.394	0.160
Got-A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Got-B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Got-C	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Pgm–A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
6pgdh–A	0.094	0.001	0.0.32	0.031	0.032	0.038
6pgdh-B	0.107	0.233	0.073	0.010	0.262	0.140
MdhA	0.026	0.026	0.047	0.009	0.004	0.023
Mdh-B	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mdh–C	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mdh–D	0.141	0.151	0.057	0.104	0.063	0.124
Gdh–A	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Skdh–A	0.232	0.124	0.135	0.098	0.114	0.142
Gene pool	0.052	0.049	0.035	0.029	0.075	0.048



**Figure 2.** Graphic representation ("differentiation snails") of the values listed in Table 5. Lengths of radii of the dotted circles correspond to the total differentiation level ( $\delta$ ), and the length of each sector radius corresponds to the differentiation value of one population.



**Figure 3.** Dendrogram of Nei's genetic distance obtained with UPGMA method.

 Table 6. Genetic distance calculated following Gregorius

 (above the diagonal) and Nei (below the diagonal).

Population	1	2	3	4	5
1 – Coppa della nuvola	_	.045	.059	.055	.083
2 – Monte Barone	.008	-	.045	.035	.096
3 – Perronello	.010	.008		.017	.063
4 – Pineta della regina	.009	.005	.001	_	.067
5 – Albidona	.024	.034	.019	.022	

Defensola (Vieste, Apulia, Italy). *Quaternaria Nova* **5**:99–128.

- FIORENTINO, G. 1995b: Analisi dei macroresti vegetali. In: L'insediamento preistorico di Terragne (Manduria – Taranto) (eds. M. A. Gorgoglione, S. Di Lernia & G. Fiorentino). pp. 171–183. Regione Puglia – C.R.S.E.C TA/55, Manduria.
- FIORENTINO, G. 1995c: Madonna del Petto: analisi antracologica e caratteristiche dell'immagazzinamento dei carporesti. *Taras – Rivista di Archeologia* 15:217–231.

FIORENTINO, G. 1995d: Primi dati archeobotanici dall'insedia-

mento dell'Età del Bronzo di Monopoli – Piazza Palmieri. Taras – Rivista di Archeologia **15**:335–373.

- FIORENTINO, G. 1998a: L'exploitation du milieu par l'Homme du Paléolithique à l'Age du Bronze en Italie sudorientale (Pouilles): données anthracologiques et carpologiques. Thèse de Doctorat, Université de Montpellier II, 402 pp.
- FIORENTINO, G. 1998b: Le risorse vegetali. In: Documenti dell'Età del Bronzo. Ricerche lungo il versante adriatico pugliese (eds. A. Cinquepalmi & F. Radina). pp. 211 –221. Schena Editore, Fasano.
- FIORENTINO, G. 1999a: Contributo dell'archeobotanica alla conoscenza del paleoambiente e dell'alimentazione nel Golfo di Taranto durante la Preistoria. *In:* Le isole Cheradi tra natura, leggenda e storia (eds. G. Mastronuzzi & P. Marzo). Stampe Sud, Mottola, Taranto.
- FIORENTINO, G. 1999b: Paleo-ambiente e paleo-economia nell'arco jonico. *In:* Atti del Seminario di Studi: Torre Castelluccia. Un insediamento dell'Età del Bronzo a Pulsano (in press).
- FIORENTINO, G. & RADINA, F. 1998: New data about food production and environment during Bronze Age in southeastern Italy. *In:* Proceedings of the 7<sup>th</sup> Meeting of International Committee of Anthropology of Food: The road of food habits in the Mediterranean area. Napoli 26–30 May 1997. *Rivista di Antropologia* **76**(supp.): 57–64.
- FOLLIERI, M. 1968: Determinazioni xilotomiche dei carboni del Paleolitico superiore di Grotta Romanelli in Terra d'Otranto. *Quaternaria* 10:125–135.
- FRANCINI, E. 1953: Il pino d'Aleppo in Puglia. Annali della Facoltà di Agraria dell'Università di Bari 8:309-416.
- FRANCINI, E. 1958: Ecologia comparata di Pinus halepensis Mill., Pinus pinaster Sol. e Pinus pinea L. sulla base del gametofito femminile. Ann. Acc. Ital. Sc. For. 7:107–172.
- GREGORIUS, H.-R. 1974: Genetischer Abstand zwischen Populationen. *Silvae Genetica* 23:22–27.
- GREGORIUS, H.-R. 1978: The concept of genetic diversity and its formal relationship to heterozygosity and genetic distance. *Math. Biosci.* **41**:253–271.
- GREGORIUS, H.-R. & ROBERDS, J. H. 1986: Measurement of genetical differentiation among subpopulations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **71**:826–834.
- GRUNWALD, C., SCHILLER, G. & CONKLE, M. T. 1986: Isozyme variation among native stands and plantations of Aleppo pine in Israel. Israel. *Journal of Botany* 35:161 -174.
- HAMRICK, J. L., GODT, M. J. W. & SHERMAN-BROYLES, S. L. 1992: Factors influencing levels of genetic diversity in woody plant species. *New Forests* 6:95–124.
- KOROL, L. & SCHILLER, G. 1996: Relations between native Israeli and Jordanian Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) based on allozyme analysis: a note. *Forest Genetics* 3:197–202.
- KOROL, L., MADMONY, A., RIOV, Y. & SCHILLER, G. 1995: Pinus halepensis × Pinus brutia subsp. brutia hybrids? Identification using morphological and biochemical traits. Silvae Genetica 44:186–190.
- LOUKAS, M., VERGINI, Y. & KRIMBAS, C. B. 1983: Isozyme variation and heterozygosity in *Pinus halepensis* L.

Biochemical Genetics 21:497–509.

- MAGINI, E. 1955: Pinete di pino d'Aleppo. *In:* Atti Congresso Nazionale di Selvicoltura 1:49–68.
- MÜLLER-STARCK, G. 1998: Isozymes. *In:* Molecular tools for screening biodiversity: plants and animals (eds. A. Karp, P.G. Isaac & D. Ingram ). pp. 75–81. Chapmann & Hall, London.
- MÜLLER-STARCK, G. & GREGORIUS, H.-R. 1986: Monitoring genetic variation in forest tree populations. *In:* Proceedings of the 18th IUFRO World Congress, Division 2. Ljubljana, September 7–21, 1986. pp. 589–599, vol. II.
- NEI, M. 1972: Genetic distance between populations. *Am. Nat.* **106**:283–292.
- NEI, M. 1973: Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 70:3321–3323.
- NEI, M. 1975: Molecular Population Genetics and Evolution. North Holland Publ., Amsterdam, Oxford.
- PIGNATTI, S. 1979: I piani di vegetazione in Italia. Giorn. Bot. Ital. 113:411-428.
- POULIK, M. D. 1957: Starch gel electrophoresis in a discontinuous system of buffers. *Nature* **180**:1477–1478.
- SARACINO, A. & LEONE, V. 1993a: Ecological features and dynamics of seed dispersal after fire in a *Pinus halepensis* Miller forest. *In*: Fire in Mediterranean Ecosystems (eds. L. Trabaud & R. Prodon). Banyuls-sur-Mer, France, September 21–25, 1992. *Commission of the European Communities, Ecosystems Research Report* 5:151–159.
- SARACINO, A. & LEONE, V. 1993b: Natural regeneration 2 and 4 years after fire of *Pinus halepensis* Miller in dunal environment. *In*: Fire in Mediterranean Ecosystems (eds. L. Trabaud & R. Prodon). Banyuls-sur-Mer, France, September 21–25, 1992. *Commission of the European Communities, Ecosystems Research Report* 5:141–150.
- SARACINO, A., PACELLA, R., LEONE, V. & BORGHETTI, M. 1997: Seed dispersal and changing seed characteristics in a *Pinus halepensis* Mill. forest after fire. Plant Ecology

**130**:13–19.

- SCHILLER, G. & BRUNORI, A. 1992: Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Mill.) in Umbria (Italy) and its relation to native Israeli populations. *Israel Journal of Botany* 41:123–127.
- SCHILLER, G. & MENDEL, Z. 1995: Is the overlap of ranges of Aleppo pine and brutia pine in the East Mediterranean natural or due to human activity? *In:* Population genetics and genetic conservation of forest trees (eds. P. Baradat, W.T. Adams & G. Müller–Starck). pp. 159–163. SPB Academic Publishing, Amsterdam.
- SCHILLER, G., CONKLE, M. T. & GRUNWALD, C. 1986: Local differentiation among Mediterranean populations of Aleppo pine in their isoenzymes. *Silvae Genetica* 35:11 -19.
- SHAW, C. R. & PRASAD, R. 1970: Starch gel electrophoresis of enzymes – a compilation of recipes. *Biochem. Genet.* 4:297–320.
- SNEATH, P. H. A. & SOKAL, R. R. 1973: Numerical Taxonomy. W.H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, CA.
- SNEDECOR, G. W. & COCHRAN, W. G. 1967: Statistical Methods. The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.
- SWOFFORD, D.L. & SELANDER, R.B. 1989: *BIOSYS-1*. A computer program for the analysis of allelic variation in population genetics and biochemical systematics. Illinois Natural History Survey, 43 pp.
- TEISSEIRE, H., FADY, B. & PICHOT, C. 1995: Allozyme variation in five French populations of Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis* Miller). Forest Genetics 2:225–236.
- VALLINO, F. O. & VENTURA, G. 1984: Dati archeobotanici dal Broglio: semi ed altri reperti. *In:* Nuove ricerche sulla protostoria della Sibaritide (ed. R. Peroni). pp. 272–280. Roma.